



IOWA CITY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Thursday, November 14, 2019

City Hall, 410 E. Washington Street

Emma Harvat Hall

5:30 p.m.

A) Call to Order

B) Roll Call

C) Public discussion of anything not on the agenda

D) Certificate of Appropriateness

1. 225 North Gilbert Street – Local Historic Landmark (rear porch opening relocations)
2. 229 North Gilbert Street – Local Historic Landmark (rear porch opening relocations, cellar door removal)

E) Report on Certificates issued by Chair and Staff

Certificate of No Material Effect –Chair and Staff review

1. 1034 East College Street – East College Street Historic District (roof shingle replacement)
2. 425 Clark Street – Clark Street Conservation District (soffit and fascia replacement)

Minor Review –Staff review

1. 831 East College Street – College Green Historic District (roof shingle replacement on carriage house which is 215 South Governor Street)
2. 601 Oakland Avenue – Longfellow Historic District (rear porch and front stoop reconstruction and new rear deck construction)
3. 1026 East Washington Street – College Hill Conservation District (metal handrail installation)
4. 225 North Gilbert Street – Local Historic Landmark (rear deck and ramp construction)
5. 229 North Gilbert Street – Local Historic Landmark (ramp and landing deck construction)
6. 424 Clark Street – Clark Street Conservation District (porch floor replacement)

Intermediate Review –Chair and Staff review

416 South Governor Street – Governor-Lucas Street Conservation District (new driveway and curb cut)

F) Consideration of Minutes for October 10, 2019

G) Commission Information and Discussion

Historic Preservation Awards update

H) Adjournment

If you will need disability-related accommodations in order to participate in this meeting, please contact Jessica Bristow, Urban Planning, at 319-356-5243 or at jessica-bristow@iowa-city.org. Early requests are strongly encouraged to allow sufficient time to meet your access needs.

Staff Report

November 6, 2019

Historic Review for 225 North Gilbert Street

District: Northside Marketplace Neighborhood
Classification: local Historic Landmark

The applicant, Public Space one, is requesting approval for a proposed alteration project at 225 North Gilbert Street, a Local Historic Landmark located in the Northside Marketplace Neighborhood. The project consists of the alteration of the location of the entry door on the historically enclosed rear porch.

Applicable Regulations and Guidelines:

- 4.0 *Iowa City Historic Preservation Guidelines for Alterations*
- 4.3 Doors
- 4.13 Windows

Staff Comments

This house, the Hohenschuh-Hervert House, built in 1904 was designated a Local Historic Landmark this year. The house was built by Charles Mentzer for Christian and Clara Dostal Hohenschuh, who lived next door, in a vernacular design with folk Victorian detailing. The front porch was removed, likely in the 1940s and the rear porch was partially enclosed.

The applicant is proposing to relocate the entry door to the rear porch, and changing the locations of windows as well to accommodate a new deck and entry ramp that is part of a separate application. The project drawings show a single window in each of the north and south walls (one replacing the existing north-facing door opening) and a single window and door in the west wall. The applicant intends to use reclaimed windows matching the existing south window and a reclaimed door.

The guidelines, in Section 4.3 Doors, state that many historic entrance doors are of panel-type construction or solid frames with glass lites in the upper half of the door. It is recommended that these historic door styles are used when it is necessary to replace historic doors. New door openings should be trimmed to match other doors and windows in the building. Section 4.13 Windows recommends preserving historic windows by repairing sashes and frames. New windows should match the type, size, sash width, trim, use of divided lites, and overall appearance of the historic windows. New windows should be added in a location that is consistent with the window pattern of the historic building. If an opening is to be relocated, it should not detract from the overall fenestration pattern.

The porch currently includes a north-facing entry door and two windows on the south wall, one of which is missing. The west-facing wall has no openings. The lower half of all walls on the enclosed porch exhibit a traditional railing with beadboard siding instead of an open baluster or lap siding to match the house. The beadboard was likely installed when the porch was enclosed in the 1940s or before. The west wall likely had windows when the porch was enclosed. They were then removed and then the upper half of the wall was infilled with modern sheet material (plywood, hardboard or similar).

In Staff's opinion, changing the location of the entry door to the porch is an acceptable change that on its own will not impact the historic character of the house. The new location will increase the ease of access to the house. Because this is an enclosed porch, staff does feel that the location and quantity of the windows should be revised from the original application in order to meet the guidelines' requirement that relocated openings should not detract from the overall fenestration pattern.

As an enclosed porch with an original railing, historic beadboard cladding, and historic storm windows, the porch wall above the railing would have consisted of ganged storm windows when it was originally enclosed. Staff recommends maintaining that window patterning in the new project instead of treating the porch

enclosure like a wall with fewer openings centered on each wall. If this historic pattern of openings is retained the need to create a new trim condition in this location is prevented. The new door will need a minimal amount of trim to hide its frame. Matching the new windows to the existing south window is appropriate. A door or door product has not been submitted for approval. Staff recommends approval of the project with revisions to the window layout shown on the drawings.

Recommended Motion

Move to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for the project at 225 North Gilbert Street as presented in the application with the following conditions:

- The plan is revised to show two windows matching the existing filling the space between column and wall on the north and south elevations and a door and at least two windows filling the space between columns on the west wall.
- Door product is approved by staff.



Application for Historic Review

CITY OF IOWA CITY
UNESCO CITY OF LITERATURE

Application for alterations to the historic landmarks or properties located in a historic district or conservation district pursuant to Iowa City Code Section 14-3B. Guidelines for the Historic Review process, explanation of the process and regulations can be found in the Iowa City Historic Preservation Handbook, which is available in the Neighborhood and Development Services office at City Hall or online at: www.icgov.org/historicpreservationresources

The HPC does not review applications for compliance with building and zoning codes. Work must comply with all appropriate codes and be reviewed by the building division prior to the issuance of a building permit.

Meeting Schedule: The HPC meets the second Thursday of each month. Applications are due in the office of Neighborhood and Development Services by noon on Wednesday three weeks prior to the meeting. See [deadlines and meeting dates](#).

Property Owner / Applicant Information

Property Owner

Property Owner Name *

Public Space One

Email *

info@publicspaceone.com

Phone *

3198551985

Address *

Street Address

229 N. Gilbert St.

Address Line 2

City

Iowa City

Postal / Zip Code

52245

State / Province / Region

IA

Country

USA

Primary Contact *

☒ Yes ☐ No

Contractor / Consultant

Name *

Thomas Agran

Email *

thomasagran@gmail.com

Phone *

3195414554

Address

Street Address

Address Line 2

City

State / Province / Region

Postal / Zip Code

Country

Primary Contact *

☒ Yes ☐ No

Proposed Project Information

Address *

Street Address

225 N. Gilbert

Address Line 2

City

Iowa City

Postal / Zip Code

52245

State / Province / Region

IA

Country

US

Use of Property *

community-access educational print studio

Date constructed

if known

Historic Designation

Maps are located at the following link: www.icgov.org/historicpreservationresources

*

- ☒ This property is a local historic landmark
☐ This property is within a historic or conservation district

Within the district, this property is classified as:

- ☐ Contributing
☐ Noncontributing
☐ Nonhistoric

Application Requirements

Choose appropriate project type. In order to ensure application can be processed, please include all listed materials. Applications without necessary materials may be rejected. *

- ☐ Addition
☒ Alteration
☐ Construction
☐ Demolition
☐ Repair or Restoration
☐ Other

Alteration

Typically projects entailing work such as siding and window replacement, skylights, window opening alterations, deck or porch replacement/construction, baluster repair, or similar. If the project is a minor alteration, photographs and drawings to describe the scope of the project are sufficient.

Building Elevations*

A1.pdf	170.75KB
--------	----------

Product Information*

IMG_5197.JPG	2.02MB
--------------	--------

IMG_5196.JPG	2.23MB
--------------	--------

Photographs*

IMG_5195.JPG	1.32MB
--------------	--------

Additional Requirements**Project Description:***

We propose to move the locations of the back door and windows on the back porch in order to accommodate the ADA ramp. The back door, currently at the north end of the porch, will be replaced with a window and relocated to the east side. The east side, which is wider and currently solid, will add a window next to the door. We will repair (or replace, as necessary) the porch window on the south side.

Materials to be Used:*

We will use a reclaimed door and windows that match the current window.

Exterior Appearance Changes:*

Location of windows and door will change but remain in the same general vicinity on the house, two windows will be added to porch sides that are currently boarded up.



Existing condition

North and west above

South and west below



Staff Report

November 6, 2019

Historic Review for 229 North Gilbert Street

District: Northside Marketplace Neighborhood
Classification: local Historic Landmark

The applicant, Public Space one, is requesting approval for a proposed alteration project at 229 North Gilbert Street, a Local Historic Landmark located in the Northside Marketplace Neighborhood. The project consists of the alteration of the location of the entry door on the enclosed rear porch and the elimination of the cellar door.

Applicable Regulations and Guidelines:

- 4.0 *Iowa City Historic Preservation Guidelines for Alterations*
- 4.3 Doors
- 4.13 Windows

Staff Comments

This house, the Christian and Clara Dostal Hohenschuh House, built in 1897 was designated a Local Historic Landmark this year. The house was built by well-known and successful carpenter, Jacob J Hotz who even featured the home in his advertisements. The house is a two-story Free Classic Queen Anne whose trim and other details were obscured by the application of synthetic siding in 1979. The rear porch was also enclosed.

The applicant is proposing to relocate the entry door to the rear porch, replacing it with a window to accommodate a new deck and entry ramp that is part of a separate application. As a part of the project, the cellar door may also be removed since it would be covered by the deck. The passage door from the porch will be moved to the west wall of the enclosed porch where a window currently exists. Its original position on the south wall will be replaced by the relocated window. All siding and trim will be reused. If the cellar door is removed, the opening will be infilled with concrete block matching the original foundation.

The guidelines, in Section 4.3 Doors, state that many historic entrance doors are of panel-type construction or solid frames with glass lites in the upper half of the door. It is recommended that these historic door styles are used when it is necessary to replace historic doors. New door openings should be trimmed to match other doors and windows in the building. Section 4.13 Windows recommends preserving historic windows by repairing sashes and frames. New windows should match the type, size, sash width, trim, use of divided lites, and overall appearance of the historic windows. New windows should be added in a location that is consistent with the window pattern of the historic building. If an opening is to be relocated, it should not detract from the overall fenestration pattern.

The porch currently includes a north-facing entry door and two windows on the south wall, one of which is missing. The west-facing wall has no openings. The lower half of all walls on the enclosed porch exhibit a traditional railing with beadboard siding instead of an open baluster or lap siding to match the house. The beadboard was likely installed when the porch was enclosed in the 1940s or before. The west wall likely had windows when the porch was enclosed. They were then removed and then the upper half of the wall was infilled with modern sheet material (plywood, hardboard or similar).

In Staff's opinion, changing the location of the entry door to the porch is an acceptable change that on its own will not impact the historic character of the house. The new location will increase the ease of access to the house. Unlike the applicant's other property, the enclosed porch here does not exhibit some of the same historical details. This, in combination with the existing synthetic siding, reduces the need for historic detailing as part of the project. The use of the existing window, door, siding, and trim in the new configuration is appropriate until such a time as the synthetic siding is removed and the window configuration in particular is revisited. The removal of the cellar door, if necessary as part of the project,

could also be approved. The basement is accessed from the interior of the house and the cellar door will be obscured by the new deck. If possible, the cellar door could also be retained and covered by the deck. Staff recommends approval of the project

Recommended Motion

Move to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for the project at 229 North Gilbert Street as presented in the application and staff report.



Application for Historic Review

CITY OF IOWA CITY
UNESCO CITY OF LITERATURE

Application for alterations to the historic landmarks or properties located in a historic district or conservation district pursuant to Iowa City Code Section 14-3B. Guidelines for the Historic Review process, explanation of the process and regulations can be found in the Iowa City Historic Preservation Handbook, which is available in the Neighborhood and Development Services office at City Hall or online at: www.icgov.org/historicpreservationresources

The HPC does not review applications for compliance with building and zoning codes. Work must comply with all appropriate codes and be reviewed by the building division prior to the issuance of a building permit.

Meeting Schedule: The HPC meets the second Thursday of each month. Applications are due in the office of Neighborhood and Development Services by noon on Wednesday three weeks prior to the meeting. See [deadlines and meeting dates](#).

Property Owner / Applicant Information

Property Owner

Property Owner Name *

Public Space One

Email *

info@publicspaceone.com

Phone *

3198551985

Address *

Street Address

229 N. Gilbert St.

Address Line 2

City

Iowa City

Postal / Zip Code

52245

State / Province / Region

IA

Country

USA

Primary Contact *

☒ Yes ☐ No

Contractor / Consultant

Name *

Thomas Agran

Email *

thomasagran@gmail.com

Phone *

3195414554

Address

Street Address

Address Line 2

City

State / Province / Region

Postal / Zip Code

Country

Primary Contact*

☒ Yes ☐ No

Proposed Project Information

Address*

Street Address

229 N Gilbert St

Address Line 2

City

Iowa City

Postal / Zip Code

52245

State / Province / Region

IA

Country

US

Use of Property*

art gallery and studios

Date constructed

if known

Historic Designation

Maps are located at the following link: www.icgov.org/historicpreservationresources

*

- ☒ This property is a local historic landmark
☐ This property is within a historic or conservation district

Within the district, this property is classified as:

- ☐ Contributing
☐ Noncontributing
☐ Nonhistoric

Application Requirements

Choose appropriate project type. In order to ensure application can be processed, please include all listed materials. Applications without necessary materials may be rejected.*

- ☐ Addition
☒ Alteration
☐ Construction
☐ Demolition
☐ Repair or Restoration
☐ Other

Alteration

Typically projects entailing work such as siding and window replacement, skylights, window opening alterations, deck or porch replacement/construction, baluster repair, or similar. If the project is a minor alteration, photographs and drawings to describe the scope of the project are sufficient.

Building Elevations *

A1.pdf

170.75KB

Product Information *

IMG_5199.JPG

2.52MB

Photographs *

IMG_5198.JPG

2.44MB

Additional Requirements**Project Description: ***

We propose to move the locations of the back door and windows on the back porch order to accommodate the ADA ramp (submitted in separate application). The door will be moved to the west side of the porch and the window currently on the north side of the porch will be moved to the south side of the porch, adjacent to the other porch windows.

Materials to be Used: *

We will reuse the existing windows and doors.

Exterior Appearance Changes: *

Location of windows and door will change but remain in the same vicinity on the house; the same number of windows (3) and door (1) will remain)



Existing Condition

MINUTES
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
EMMA J. HARVAT HALL
October 10, 2019

PRELIMINARY

MEMBERS PRESENT: Thomas Agran, Helen Burford, Gosia Clore, Lyndi Kiple, Quentin Pitzen, and Jordan Sellergren

MEMBERS ABSENT: Kevin Boyd, Sharon DeGraw, Cecile Kuenzli

STAFF PRESENT: Jessica Bristow

OTHERS PRESENT:

RECOMMENDATIONS TO COUNCIL: (become effective only after separate Council action)

CALL TO ORDER: Vice Chairperson Agran called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

PUBLIC DISCUSSION OF ANYTHING NOT ON THE AGENDA:

There was none.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – CONSENT AGENDA:

1037 East Washington Street – College Hill Conservation District (window sill height change for kitchen remodel).

Agran asked if anyone had questions. Seeing none, he asked for a motion to approve.

MOTION: Burford moved to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project at 1037 East Washington Street as presented in the application. Clore seconded the motion. **The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.**

117 North Linn Street – Local Historic Landmark (signage installation).

Agran noted the Commission approved a sign similar to this last year. This sign is slightly smaller than that one. He asked if anyone had questions. Seeing none, he asked for a motion to approve.

MOTION: Clore moved to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project at 117 North Linn Street as presented in the application. Burford seconded the motion. **The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.**

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS:

513 Grant Street – Longfellow Historic District (second floor rear addition) deferred from August 8, 2019 meeting.

Bristow explained 513 Grant Street was the project that came before the Commission in August and was deferred in order to resolve the roof condition. She said she would go over the project briefly as a refresher. This is a four-square on Grant Street that had a one-story addition put on the back before the Commission had guidelines and a district, so it was not done in a way that would be appropriate according to Commission guidelines. It does protrude from the south side of the house a little bit. That made it more difficult to resolve how the roof of the new addition

would tie into the existing house. Part of this project will be changing the siding and the window condition on the addition so it will blend with the rest of the house.

Bristow explained the new addition is going on the second floor of the existing addition. On this existing addition, one of the changes they will make is replacing the window on the back with a pair of French doors for access to the backyard from that area.

Bristow shared views of the house in its current condition, showing how it protrudes a bit past the house on the south side.

A 3-D model was viewed, showing the addition with an added second floor. The window pattern in the house will be mimicked in the new addition. Bristow noted the trim details around the window are not shown in this rendering. She said a roof will be installed that has the same pitch around the outside edge as the existing roof on the main house so they can continue the open soffit condition and the gutter condition all the way around. But then, instead of continuing that roof up to its normal height and pitch, it will work similar to a mansard roof where the pitch will change mid-slope, in this case becoming much shallower like a low-slope roof. A rendering of the roof was shown.

Another illustration showed how the roof condition will be continued around the perimeter of the house to resolve the corner of the addition. Bristow said there would be a window in each floor on the north side.

Clore wondered why not use a hip roof.

Bristow said there wasn't a way to install a typical hip roof and not have it overpower the main house. If the pitch of the house was matched, the addition roof would rise higher than the existing roof because of the location of the ridge for the new addition. One way to counteract that is to make it a flat roof in this area. The fact that the addition itself steps out to the south from the main house also creates an odd condition on that corner.

Bristow said a couple houses in town have the condition with a hip roof and a change in slope to a flat area. Historically, when they tended to do that, at least in town, it looked more like a hip roof than a flat roof. Here, it is just creating enough of that soffit and eave condition around the edge of the house to be able to have it flow around more smoothly. Then it is shorter on top, so it doesn't overpower the main house and protrude above it. It also prevents the need to tie in too far into that roof laterally.

Bristow said since the addition did not set back according to Commission guidelines, it really created a condition for this house that made a solution difficult.

Bristow shared the second-floor plan. It will just be bedroom, bathroom, and laundry in that corner.

Staff felt this roof plan resolved the issue. The owners did hire an architect to resolve the condition. Staff does recommend approval of this solution with this roof condition.

Agran asked for other clarifying questions, then opened the public hearing. The public hearing was closed.

MOTION: Clore moved to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project at 513 Grant Street as presented in the application and Staff report with the following conditions: Door product materials approved by Staff. Pitzen seconded the motion. **The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.**

601 Oakland Avenue – Longfellow Historic District (window pair replaced with French doors).

Bristow said this house backs up to the Longfellow School. She shared its current condition. She said there is stucco under some of the vinyl siding. The project will not include removal of the vinyl siding at this time. The project will the replacement of a pair of windows with a pair of doors. There are other projects that will be happening with this house and Staff received sketches just today for that. Bristow said that work is Staff review, but the sketches would be shared so the Commission could understand how it will all tie in.

On the first image, Bristow noted that part of the project will be rebuilding the front stoop with handrail. On the back of the house there is a bump-out that was created to house a refrigerator in the kitchen, so it's not historic. That will be removed. The whole rear porch, except for the roof and the piers, will be reconstructed. That will be reconstructed with a porch floor and the appropriate railing and skirting. Part of the project will include construction of a deck. Staff does not have plans for the deck yet. The deck will be its own structure that could be removed and not impact the house. The deck will wrap around the house on the side. It will be set in the eight inches required by the guidelines from the side of the house.

Bristow said as part of the project they are moving the kitchen. The new location already has windows with high sills, and is optimal for that. They want to replace a pair of windows with a pair of doors for access to their new deck. They will be able to maintain the existing head height and jamb width so it will have a minimal impact on the house. They will match the existing trim and siding. At the time the Staff report was written they had not submitted a pair of appropriate doors, so the recommendation included Staff approval. Since then, a pair of doors has been submitted that staff finds appropriate for this project and recommends the Commission approve.

Bristow said the deck will butt up against the edge of the porch. There will be deck flooring for the deck, because it does not have a roof, and porch flooring for the porch because it does have a roof.

Bristow showed a view of the front, with the built area that exists and then a new stoop. It has piers that can remain, but everything else needs to be redone.

The scope of this project is replacing two windows with a pair of doors. Staff does recommend approval and recommends approval of this pair of doors.

Agran asked for any clarifying questions, then opened the public hearing. The public hearing was closed.

MOTION: Clore moved to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness of the project at 601 Oakland Avenue as presented in the application and Staff report. Kiple seconded the motion. **The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.**

1127 Maple Street – Longfellow Historic District (new garage/storage building).

Bristow said this group of interesting houses on Maple Street will always be noncontributing to the district, partly because of their orientation, which is facing away from the street.

Bristow shared a street view, with a typical rear elevation of a house, slightly raised windowsills, door over in the corner, because when you walk in you either go down to the basement or you go up slightly curved steps directly into the kitchen. There is no garage with this house. There is currently a non-historic shed that will be removed. There is no permit needed for removal of that.

Bristow showed the front of the house, which is on the back. The main door walks into the living area. She then showed the back of the house again, noting all the houses along here were built in this orientation. One possibility was the assumption that Clark Court would have gone on through as the street and Maple would have been the alley. Bristow said because this whole stretch of houses will always be noncontributing, there have already been a few changes. There is one slightly longer, fairly large garage that is on the east end of the group that was approved by the Commission. There was also a house to the west of this that had a Mid-Century Modern connection of the house and garage at an angle.

The current project is to build a single-car garage that is slightly extended for a work and storage area. Bristow said there were two sets of elevations only because of a change on the west side. She said except for the extended length, this type of project could normally be Staff review, so she suggested it be approved no matter which configuration was used on the west side, which is directly adjacent to the house, only five feet away from the house.

Bristow showed the east elevation of the new garage from the neighboring property. There are two windows, slightly more square than typical windows because of the age of this house.

Bristow shared the west elevation, which is adjacent to the house, with a passage door near the front door of the house and some windows toward the back.

The south elevation shows a passage door and a window, but the applicant has also talked about the possibility of having an overhead door on that side, as well. The owners do not intend to divide the garage internally with a wall into two separate spaces. They do plan to install a patio area that is framed by the house and garage facing Longfellow.

Bristow said the other configuration is an option because of the proximity of the house and garage. This wall of the garage will have to be fireproofed. There are several simple steps that can be taken to do that. If they choose not to have a passage door in this area, up next to the house, then it would not have a door here. This shows the windows pushed down together so they are past the end of the house. Bristow said otherwise these two sets of drawings are the same.

Staff does not find the configuration of the openings on the south end to be critical because they are not very visible.

Bristow shared the site plan. The garage will be set back 60 feet from Maple Street, which allows them to reduce the side setback between the garage and the property line to just three feet. She said window and door products have not yet been submitted for approval. The applicant wants to move forward on some of the groundwork and get that done before it freezes.

Staff would recommend approving this with Staff approval of the openings and their configuration.

Agran asked for clarifying questions. He thought the overhead door on the south side made sense since that's the front side of the house anyway. A public hearing was opened and closed.

Clore asked about the setback differences. She wondered why there could be less than five feet. Bristow said it was part of the zoning code.

Agran thought if the garage was closer to the street it may be very close to somebody else's house. He said it was a good thing to know, too, because a lot of these lots have nonconforming conditions, so to know there might be shifts in how they can conform is good.

MOTION: Clore moved to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project at 1127 Maple Street as presented in the application with the following conditions: Final layout of openings determined prior to approval and all doors and window products approved by Staff. Burford seconded the motion. The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.

REPORT ON CERTIFICATES ISSUED BY CHAIR AND STAFF

Certificate of No Material Effect – Chair and Staff Review.

411 South Summit Street – Summit Street Historic District (roof shingle replacement).

This property recently changed hands. The house will get a new roof.

611 Oakland Avenue – Longfellow Historic District (roof shingle replacement).

This property was being worked on by a roofing company without a permit when Bristow went to review 601 Oakland for tonight's meeting. Staff is working with them to obtain permits for future work.

Minor Review – Staff Review.

721 Rundell Street – Longfellow Historic District (temporary ramp access).

This property is noncontributing because of changes. The owners needed to install a temporary ADA access ramp. It will come off the side door of the enclosed porch and then wrap around to the back, ending before it hits the stair structure going to the second floor on the back.

529 Church Street – Goosetown / Horace Mann Conservation District (window replacement).

This property is a Mid-Century house that is near Horace Mann. It is getting all new replacement windows. Given the age of the house, the Commission does not review the condition of the windows in the same way older houses are reviewed. Bristow said while these windows were made of wood and could possibly have been repaired, they are not the same quality of material and construction as an older house. The windows will have the same horizontally divided sashes and will be metal-clad wood windows.

116 South Dodge Street – College Green Historic District (front door replacement).

This is one of Iowa City's Prairie School houses. The front doors are on the side, which is typical with Prairie School, where they tend to offset the entrance over to one side or around the corner

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

October 10, 2019

Page 6 of 7

on the side as in this example. Bristow said the current doors have probably been kicked out by tenants and look like a combination of original historic doors and plywood cobbled together. They will be replaced as double doors.

619 North Linn Street – Northside Historic District (carriage house passage door replacement).

The passage door will be replaced.

919 East Washington Street – College Hill Conservation District (porch pier and stair replacement).

This house and its companion house were getting their site stairs replaced this year. Bristow noted these houses used to be twins, but this one has been remodeled with an addition and the basement under the porch is an addition, as well. Some of the porch piers have been replaced with concrete in some form. It will all be replaced with a new rock face block. The new block is a little bit white, so the contractor will stain it a little bit gray to try to make it blend in. It will get a new set of wood stairs with an appropriate handrail.

Intermediate Review – Chair and Staff Review.

802 South Summit Street – Summit Street Historic District (new driveway).

This driveway was part of the garage addition project. It did have to widen in front of the house because it was a two-car garage and had restrictive site conditions.

1117 Seymour Avenue – Longfellow Historic District (minor changes to a previous COA).

This is a minor change to the approved siding for this Commission-approved project

10 South Gilbert Street – Local Historic Landmark (minor changes to a previous COA).

This is a minor change to the approved roofline on the Commission-approved stair/elevator addition project.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES FOR SEPTEMBER 12, 2019

MOTION: Burford moved to approve the minutes of the Historic Preservation Commission's September 12, 2019 meeting. Pitzen seconded the motion. The motion carried on a vote of 8-0.

COMMISSION INFORMATION AND DISCUSSION:

803 South Summit Outbuilding Demolition.

A Building Official can condemn a building and decide it needs to come down. This structure was not garage-sized and was not that old. Staff did go through a formal process in order to have the Building Official determine that it needed to come down.

ADJOURNMENT: Clore moved to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Kiple.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

Minutes submitted by Judy Jones

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

October 10, 2019

Page 7 of 7

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

ATTENDANCE RECORD

2018-2019

NAME	TERM EXP.	11/08	12/ 13	1/10	2/14	3/14	4/11	5/09	5/23	6/13	8/08	8/19	9/12	10/10
AGRAN, THOMAS	6/30/20	X	X	O/E	O/E	X	O/E	O/E	X	X	X	X	X	X
BOYD, KEVIN	6/30/20	O/E	X	X	X	X	X	X	O/E	X	X	X	X	O/E
BUILTA, ZACH	6/30/19	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	--	--	--	--
BURFORD, HELEN	6/30/21	O/E	X	X	X	X	O/E	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
CLORE, GOSIA	6/30/20	X	X	O/E	X	X	X	O/E	X	O/E	O/E	X	X	X
DEGRAW, SHARON	6/30/19	X	X	X	O/E	X	X	X	X	O/E	X	X	O/E	O/E
KARR, G. T.	6/30/20	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	--
KUENZLI, CECILE	6/30/19	X	X	X	O/E	X	X	X	X	O/E	X	X	O/E	O/E
KIPLE, LYNDI	6/30/22	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	X	X	X	X
PITZEN, QUENTIN	6/30/21	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SELLERGREN, JORDAN	6/30/22	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	X	X	X	X
SHOPE, LEE	6/30/21	O/E	X	O/E	X	X	X	X	X	O/E	--	--	--	--